JOURNAL -

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 30 August 1978

25X1  from General Counsel, NSA, who asked whether there had been any developments subsequent to the inter-agency meeting held on 25 August to discuss requests for information made by the Senate Poreign Relations Subcommittee on International Operations. I told that I had been informed by Theodore Heavner, Bureau of Intelligence and Research,  Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had not yet been received, however asked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told that there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  25X1			
from developments subsequent to the inter-agency meeting held on 25 August to discuss requests for information made by the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on International Operations. I told that I had been informed by Theodore Heavner, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had not yet been received, however. asked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL			25X <sup>2</sup>
from developments subsequent to the inter-agency meeting held on 25 August to discuss requests for information made by the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on International Operations. I told that I had been informed by Theodore Heavner, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred cortain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL			
from developments subsequent to the inter-agency meeting held on 25 August to discuss requests for information made by the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on International Operations. I told that I had been informed by Theodore Heavner, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred cortain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL			
from developments subsequent to the inter-agency meeting held on 25 August to discuss requests for information made by the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on International Operations. I told that I had been informed by Theodore Heavner, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred cortain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL  CONFIDENTIAL			
Sex1  from	25X1		
Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had not yet been received, however. asked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told that there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred cortain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL	25X1	from General Counsel, NSA, who asked whether there	had
Operations. I told that I had been informed by Theodore Heavner, Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had not yet been received, howeverasked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I toldthat there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL  CONFIDENTIAL		held on 25 August to discuss requests for information made	
Operations. I told		by the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on International	
Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of action worked out at the meeting, State had asked Committee Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had not yet been received, however lasked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told that there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL  CONFIDENTIAL	25X1	operations. I told     that I had been informed by	
Legal Counsel Michael Glennon to formulate his latest oral request in writing. This written request had not yet been received, however		Department of State, that in accordance with the plan of	
25X1  25X1  Tequest in writing. This written request had not yet been received, however. asked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told that there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL		action worked out at the meeting. State had asked Committee	
received, however. asked whether there had been any movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told  that there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL		request in writing. This written request had not yet been	
25X1 That there was none to my knowledge, and noted that the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  CONFIDENTIAL	25X1	received, however. asked whether there had been any	•
the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred certain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  25X		movement toward involving the SSCI in this matter. I told	
centrain of the Subcommittee's questions to the DCI and after there was further consultation among the interested agencies.  25X  CONFIDENTIAL	23 <b>/</b> I	the plan of action agreed to at the inter-agency meeting	
CONFIDENTIAL		envisaged such a move only after the State Department referred	
CONFIDENTIAL		there was further consultation among the interested agencies	
CONFIDENTIAL		The state of the s	25X^
CONFIDENTIAL			
CONFIDENTIAL			
CONFIDENTIAL			
CONFIDENTIAL			-
CONFIDENTIAL			
			25X <sup>2</sup>
		CONFIDENTIAL	
Approved For Release 2005/04/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001690969029-8			
		Approved For Release 2005/04/22 · CIA-RDP81M00980R0016 <del>00060029_8</del>	′